I'm not robot	reCAPTCHA
Continue	

## Alain badiou being and event pdf

Book Review Alain Badiou's Being and Event Jon Roffe Alain Badiou, Being and Event, trans. Oliver Feltham, London, Continuum, 2005. ISBN: 0826458319 1. Much like the parade of claimants for the hand of Penelope in Homer's Odyssey, the theoretical humanities have been presented with a string of would-be maîtres à penser, each bringing with them claims of radical originality, and the promise of hope for the disciplines in question. Not only is the philosophy of Alain Badiou among the very few who have serious justifications to the claim of originality, the rigour, scope and goals of his philosophy reveal him as the first thinker in a long time to have the resources necessary to engage with the ailments of theoretical discourse in the contemporary environment of global capitalism, and the steady dismantling of the traditional infrastructure of the human sciences and philosophy. Almost twenty years after its publication in French, the keystone to Badiou's philosophical system, Being and Event[1], has been published in English. This delay is interesting. It reveals the temporal profile of Anglo-American investments in French theory particularly clearly. Even in an environment where new 'flashy Hegels'[2] have their work pre-digested and circulated in an economy every bit as elusive and powerful as any other—and regardless of the value of the theoretical perspective in question— Badiou's work has remained relatively obscure beyond Paris until recently. Students will now doubtless go back and find Badiou as a member of a group interviewing Foucault about the Archaeology of Knowledge, or as the author of articles in the Cahiers pour l'analyse, in whose pages Jacques Lacan, Jacques Derrida, and Jean-Claude Milner amongst others were published.[3] They will rediscover the problems that the post-68 period posed for thought, already unlaced and examined by Derrida, Lyotard, Foucault, Deleuze, and their treatment in another radical way. But only twenty years later. The sociology and politics of the scholarly interest and—let's be honest—fixation on the figure of the 'French theorist' are thought-provoking topics. And, no doubt, the way in which Badiou's thought is introduced and elaborated in the Anglo-American context will reveal many of the socio-political dynamics of this context. However, the real task before us is to decide, on the basis of careful, thoughtful analysis, an analysis that struggles to remain irreducible to these dynamics, what Badiou's thought can bring to our contemporary situation. 2. Being and Event is an extremely remarkable book, presenting in just over 430 pages in the English translation a fundamental ontology, and a presentation of the ways in which novelty can come to restructure what is given in the socio-historical formations of being. The ambition of the book is equalled by the range of its references, from set-theoretic mathematics to psychoanalytic theory, and by the strength of its conviction, which is unwavering. The claims of Being and Event represent the very heart of Badiou's philosophical endeavour. 3. It has been suggested on a number of occasions that it is comparable only to Heidegger's Being and Time. There is a book which it resembles more closely. Rather than Being and Time, it is Gilles Deleuze's Difference and Repetition which bears much greater fundamental similarities to Being and Event. Unlike Heidegger, Badiou does not lead us back down the well-worn paths of existential phenomenology, returning us again to a meditation on lived experience. Like Deleuze's book, Being and Event unashamedly engages in a philosophy which is abstract and metaphysical, one that does not presume the starting point of phenomenological experience, and one that gives absolutely no ground to that world view—or to its familiar themes of impoverishment, finitude and death. Again like Deleuze's book, it continually surprises the reader with the breadth of sources from which the argument draws, sources that range across the entire history of philosophy (Parmenides, Plato, Aristotle, Descartes, Spinoza, Rousseau, Pascal, Hegel, Heidegger), poets and writers (Holderlin, Mallarmé) and contemporary mathematics. And, above all, Being and Event draws on resources which are generally conceived as outside of the philosophical enclosure, particularly within the milieu of 1970s and 80s France: mathematics and mathematical logic Finally, like Difference and Repetition (and beyond this the similarities come to an abrupt end), Being and Event is a poorly understood, and as a result, thoughtlessly criticized: only time will tell. Or, as Badiou himself might say, such is a question of fidelity, a question whose answer will only become apparent in the future anterior. Badiou's method 4. Badiou begins the first part of his most recent work Logiques des mondes with a statement about the logic of the book: it is written so as to '[make] clear from the beginning what is only fully intelligible at the end'.[4] Being and Event is composed according to a very different schematic—one that Badiou is implying in this claim, I would suggest—according to a double process of decision and deduction. 5. The first Meditation ('The One and the Multiple: a priori conditions of any possible ontology') opens with an explicit statement of decision: in the face of the philosophical tradition, which has gambled on the multiplicity of ways in which being is expressed, Badiou writes: We find ourselves on the brink of the decision, a decision to break with the arcana of the one and the multiple in which philosophy is born and buried, phoenix of its own sophistical consumption. This decision can take no other form than the following: the one is not (BE 23). What is striking here is that Badiou claims no evidential support for this assertion, no external justification of any kind: he decides on this axiom (and it is an axiom). This point must not be overlooked: there is no question of referentially grounding philosophy for Badiou, whether in consciousness, the objective world, human custom or even unconscious drives. Philosophy begins with an axiomatic break, and proceeds on the basis of a fidelity with this break, patiently unfolding its deductive consequences. It is not surprising, then, that Badiou immediately goes on to account for the fact that while the One is not, beings as they are presented are nonetheless really unified. He thus begins the second movement that will carry this initial decision into the heart of novel theories of being and truth. The surprise that emerges as the book progresses is that this decision-deduction movement is precisely the movement of the subject reveals that the emergence of the subject itself takes the same form: decision (yes, an event has taken place) and deduction (the constructive unfolding of the consequences of this decision). The subject, for Badiou, is just decision and faithful deduction. A further consequence follows, this time relative to mathematics for reasons we will see—is governed by a set of axioms, axioms which regulate the manipulation and creation of sets The decision-deduction complex at the heart of Badiou's philosophical method, and the heart of subjectivity, is played out in the field of mathematics. Thus, set-theory is at once the discourse proper to being, and an ideal example of the way that subjective fidelity to axiomatic decisions can unfold deductive consequences that in turn enter into the composition of knowledge. 6. While I will return to the category of the subject shortly, we can also say this: that Being and Event, like many of the great books of modern philosophy, attempts to say its own sense, to play out the nature of subjective fidelity in its construction. Nowhere is this more clear than the first Meditation, one of the real tours de force of philosophical rigour in the book, which presents and answers an increasingly complex and reflexive set of problems, which can be schematized as follows: what is the nature of being? Given this, how is ontology, the discourse about being, possible, without abandoning this fundamental ontological insight? In Lacanese, we can say that it is a matter of Badiou's position of enunciation being taken account of in the field of statements that he is endorsing in Being and Event. Mathematics and Ontology 7. What then of mathematics and Ontology 7. What then of mathematics and Event. Mathematics and Eve think that we can claim as some have done that it is the first book on Deleuze, indicates that the philosophical epoch that he finds himself, in the his been, precisely, dominated by ontological discourse—whence the significance of Heidegger, but also his relativity.[6] However, Being and Event engages with ontological concerns directly, both presenting them in a striking new way and elaborating the consequences of his founding intuitions with considerable daring and philosophical acumen. While ontology is to some degree an integral part of our contemporary philosophical regime, Badiou's account of it, for these reasons, is nonetheless striking. 8. The book is without a doubt mobilized on the basis of a single proposition: 'The initial thesis of my enterprise [. . .] is the following: the science of being qua being has existed since the Greeks—such is the sense and status of mathematics' (BE 3). As it is infamously formulated shortly in the science of being qua being has existed since the Greeks—such is the science of being qua being has existed since the Greeks—such is the science of being qua being has existed since the Greeks—such is the science of being qua being has existed since the Greeks—such is the science of being qua being has existed since the Greeks—such is the science of being qua being has existed since the Greeks—such is the science of being qua being has existed since the Greeks—such is the science of being qua being has existed since the Greeks—such is the science of being qua being has existed since the Greeks—such is the science of being qua being has existed since the Greeks—such is the science of being qua being has existed since the Greeks—such is the science of being qua being has existed since the Greeks—such is the science of being qua being has existed since the Greeks—such is the science of being quality and the greeks—such is the science of being quality and the greeks—such is the science of being quality and the greeks—such is the science of being quality and the greeks—such is the science of being quality and the greeks—such is the science of being quality and the greeks—such is the greeks—such is the science of being quality and the greeks—such is the g afterwards, 'mathematics = ontology' (BE 4). This equation, despite its apparent transparency, may well be the source of the greatest number of misunderstandings about Being and Event. Not only will it be read poorly if the centrality is misunderstand. 9. Badiou's equation of mathematics and ontology does designate the former as the sole discourse about being. However, mathematics does not describe being. His view—an initially surprising one, but the only one befitting a materialist philosophy—is rather that mathematics is the literal inscription of being. It refers to nothing other than itself, it embodies nothing, it reveals nothing. The simple marks themselves are the sole reality of mathematical discourse has held from Plato to Deleuze and beyond, ontology as a discourse has held from Plato to Deleuze and beyond, ontology as the profound substantial connection of thought and being. In its place, only marks, letters, scribbles. This is not to say that mathematics qua ontology is not the effectuation of the unity of being and thought for Badiou: it certainly is. However, this unity is in fact brought about only under the most restrictive, minimal conditions. It is not the plenitude of being that answers to ontological thought, but the almost-nothing of the letter. In other words, there is no ontology of presence for Badiou, the presence of being qua being in through the agency of the letter. Beyond the famous equation, then, the claim that is really at the root of the book is this: The thesis that I support does not in any way declare that being is mathematical. which is to say composed of mathematical objectivities. It is not a thesis about the world but about discourse. It affirms that mathematics, throughout the entirety of its historical becoming, pronounces what is expressible of being qua being. Insofar as being is expressible in thought, it happens in the barren and minimal marks of mathematics themselves, and nowhere else. 10. To this, a number of things that could be added, and there are two of importance. First of all, a point which Badiou makes continually, is that this claim does not come to bear on philosophy itself other than in a negative fashion. That is, ontology is not a part of philosophy, the two are separate discourses. Rather than dispossessing philosophy of its fundamental claims, for Badiou, this relieves it of a task which was never its own. We can see the logic of this: if ontology has as its task the inscription of being, philosophy is poorly equipped, since its manifest strength is description. And this is true for Badiou as well, who sees the task of philosophy as the commitment to elaborating an encyclopaedic vision of the existence of truths, and thus the maintenance of the category of Truth (as philosophy has since Plato on Badiou's account). Second, mathematics being a discipline whose conclusions have been elaborated over time (Badiou refers, as I have cited above, to the 'historical becoming' of the discipline), it is the case that at certain points in history, what we were capable of thinking or expressing of being has also changed. Now, while Plato's interruption of Parmenidean poetic ontology was brought about through the insistence on the mathematics employed by Plato himself was not equal to being as Badiou understands it. In fact, the range of mathematics-ontology has consistently grown. For Badiou, it is not until the creation of the branch of mathematics called set theory (Cantor) and its subsequent axiomatization (Zermelo, Fraenkel, Bar Hilel) and certain profound elaborations (Gödel and Cohen), Badiou suggests, that mathematics as ontology finally realizes its potential. The status of this historical claim is puzzling. The most straightforward way of understanding it is manifestly Hegelian: it is only as the result of the elaboration of the discipline of mathematics itself that being as such has—literally—become thinkable. Since it is in mathematics that being and thought make contact, then we seem led to think that being itself has unfolded at the same time as mathematics—its sole and literal description—has developed. On the other hand, given that Badiou presents one historically specific application of mathematics as adequate to being, it seems that the well-known aporiae of genesis and structure—whose most pronounced accents, as Derrida has taught us, are to be found in Husserl—must plague Badiou's claims also. If mathematics has always been the expression of being qua being, then its historical development, only realized in the advent of set theory, must be merely secondary. But Badiou's claims about set theory seem absolutely primary. In either case, the relationship that exists between being and thought in mathematics seems traversed by a problematic historicity in Badiou's presentation. Being qua being 11. It remains to be seen what Badiou means by the claim that mathematics is the discourse of being qua being 11. It remains to be seen what Badiou means by the claim that mathematics is the discourse of being qua being 11. It remains to be seen what Badiou means by the claim that mathematics is the discourse of being qua being 11. It remains to be seen what Badiou means by the claim that mathematics is the discourse of being qua being 11. It remains to be seen what Badiou means by the claim that mathematics is the discourse of being qua being 11. It remains to be seen what Badiou means by the claim that mathematics is the discourse of being qua being 11. It remains to be seen what Badiou means by the claim that mathematics is the discourse of being qua being 11. It remains to be seen what Badiou means by the claim that mathematics is the discourse of being qua being 11. It remains to be seen what Badiou means by the claim that mathematics is the discourse of being qua being 11. It remains to be seen what Badiou means by the claim that mathematics is the discourse of being qua being 11. It remains that mathematics is the discourse of being quality and the discourse of b can come to bear on being as it appears to us: from sociology and political science to market research. However, what distinguishes mathematics is that it deals with being as being, the being of beings. Clearly here we are seeing a version of Heidegger's ontological difference. Beings are characterized, for Badiou, by minimal identity. Everything which constitutes the world is what he terms counted-as-one. However, being itself, prior to the unities that are presented as beings, is essentially multiple. This is Badiou's opening decision, and the one from which a remarkable range of consequences are deduced as noted above. However, it presents us with the question of what kind of discourse would be capable of dealing with being qua being as multiple. 12. We have already seen the answer: the branch of mathematics called set theory itself. First of all, set theory is a discipline concerned with multiples. A set is nothing more or less than a multiple. Second, the category 'set' has no explicit definition within set-theory itself. While the common-language presentation of the theory presents sets as collections of things, this begs the question in a way that obscures precisely what Badiou finds so important: that the 'contents' of a set have no set form or status. That is, the implicit definition of a set avoids counting-as-one in any a priori fashion the contents of a set. Consequently, we can talk about a set α without stipulations that I can subject this set to, according with the axioms of set theory, can be undertaken without any presumption about what α 'is'. Again, the value of this for Badiou is that it preserves multiplicity as such The third crucial characteristic of set theory for Badiou is precisely the fact of the axiomatization that I've just mentioned. The supreme importance of axiomatization for Badiou is that it forecloses any possible paradox entering into the construction or manipulation of sets In other words, the axioms guarantee that set theory can only be exercised in such a way that its products will not compromize set theory itself. That consistency is the power of axiomatization is attested to by the causes for the elaboration of the set-theoretic axioms in the first place. A number of people, including Burali-Forti and Russell (and indeed Cantor himself), discovered paradoxe at the very heart of the naïve account of sets and the rules for their construction. It was in order to a priori eliminate the possibility of such paradox involving the membership of a set within itself. Within axiomatized set theory (in honour of its principal composers Zermelo and Fraenkel, this axiomatization is simply referred to as ZF set theory), the axiom of foundation or regularity removes the possibility of such paradoxes occurring by precisely forbidding relations of self-membership.[7] 13. The concept that Badiou begins Being and Event with, one that facilitates a full-blown use of set theory as ontology, is the situation. This category, whose name is borrowed from Sartre, concerns every being or regime of being which has been counted-as-one. As such, it is a consistently one-multiple of multiples. Situations must be understood, I think, in an extremely broad sense: a society, a human being, a natural language or a building would all be situations in this fundamental sense, one-multiples. Throughout, this concept is progressively refined and complicated. However, even in this basic sense, it allows us to see the basic ontological picture at the heart of Badiou's philosophy. Being itself, being qua being, l'être en tant qu'être, is purely multiples of multiples of multiples of multiples, what Badiou designates as inconsistent multiplicity. Later on he will come to specify that these multiples must finally be void, multiples of nothing, otherwise we inevitably are returned to the regime of the one. But on the other hand, being, l'étant, existing beings, are the result of counting-as-one some inconsistent multiples, thereby rendering them consistent. 14. We have no direct access as such to being qua being, and Dasein has no privilege here. Mathematics, as ontology, is the single approach reveals to us not Being itself, in its un-veiling movement. It shows us marks of multiple-being, nothing more. In mathematics, for Badiou, being is not un-veiled but inscribed in flat featureless letters. The theory of the event 15. It is in the context of this mathematics which is presented is unified (counted-as-one), then how can this unity be (at least partially) undone such that something new can come about within it? 16. Part Three of Being and Event consists in the main of a lengthy elaboration of ordinality, which for Badiou is another name for natural situations. In such situations. In such situations, there is no possibility of any change; their internal ordered structure is absolute. Badiou begins Part Four of the historical situation, there is no possibility of any change; their internal ordered structure is absolute. Badiou begins Part Four of the book with the contrasting category of the historical situation. In such situations, we find that there is at least one multiple within the situation which remains 'on the edge of the void', a multiple which is at once a part of the situation, but of which some of its members are not (think of the figure of the refugee, who is at once a member of a national situation, but of which some of its members are not (think of the figure of the refugee, who is at once a member of a national situation, but of which some of its members are not (think of the figure of the refugee, who is at once a member of a national situation, but of which some of its members are not (think of the figure of the refugee, who is at once a member of a national situation, but of which some of its members are not (think of the figure of the refugee, who is at once a member of a national situation, and yet not represented or recognized within it). its exposure to uncounted, inconsistent being, is what opens it up to the aleatory. While a necessary precondition, though, the event is what is radically unpredictable, unknown and unknowable—it has the status of an unconscious moment in which the possibility of change is all but invisible. More precisely, Badiou offers two complementary claims about the event, relative to two different points of view. First of all, in a phenomenal sense, that the event is what appears in disappearing. It has no counted-as-one status, no reality or sense within the situation as it stands, but it also has no temporal reality other than the moment of its (dis). appearance. Relative to ontology, though, the event has an undecidable status. There is no absolute way to resolve its belonging to the event. 17. These two claims each require a response from within the situation in order for the event to have any consequences. The event, in its elusive (non)-presentation, cannot be the object of factual knowledge, evidence or proof. It is only possible to have a subjective orientation towards it. Relative to the undecidable ontological status of the event, there is only one possibility: a decision will be necessary in order to proceed. These two points provide a response to the suspicious reader, who may think at this point in the book that Badiou's theory of the light. It is, finally and solely, in the figure of the suspicious reader, who may think at this point in the book that Badiou's theory of the light. It is, finally and solely, in the figure of the suspicious reader, who may think at this point in the book that Badiou's theory of the light. It is, finally and solely, in the figure of the suspicious reader, who may think at this point in the book that Badiou's theory of the light. It is, finally and solely, in the figure of the suspicious reader, who may think at this point in the book that Badiou's theory of the light. It is, finally and solely, in the figure of the suspicious reader, who may think at this point in the book that Badiou's theory of the light. It is, finally and solely, in the figure of the suspicious reader, who may think at this point in the book that Badiou's theory of the light. It is, finally and solely, in the figure of the suspicious reader, who may think at this point in the book that Badiou's theory of the light. played out—the faithful response to what can only ever be invested in, beyond knowledge, consciousness and hope. The subject 18. In the Introduction, Badiou claims that the development of Being and Event occurred in response to a problem concerned the elaboration of an ontology that could support the claim of this earlier book, simply that 'there "was some" subjectivisation' (BE 4). He presents Being and Event, therefore, as the elaboration of an ontology that was previously non-existent. This is clearly modest. Not only do Badiou's much earlier treatments of mathematical topics reveal key parts of the approach that is presented systematically or according to their consequences only in Being and Event—I am thinking here of the pieces published in Cahiers pour l'analyse, 'La subversion infinitésimale' and the extremely important 'Marque et Manque: à propos du zero'—Badiou's materialist commitments run throughout his writings and set up a number of the presuppositions of the ontology of Being and Event. Even a hasty or partial reading of the 1969 Le Concepte du modèle reveals a concern with theorizing mathematics and logic in a way that disembeds mathematics from certain theoretico-political commitments.[8] Having said this, Badiou's account of the problem posed for him by the unelaborated version of the subject in the regime of ontology, found Théorie du sujet, reveals the centrality of the problem of the subject—its nature broadly understood, its relation the regime of its effectuation, its 'substance'—in Badiou's thought. 19. I would in fact contend that, rather than being (ontology, mathematics) or the event (the theory of the site, historical situations, the paradoxical matheme of the event itself) it is the doctrine of the subject which is at the heart of Being and Event. Yes, the answer to the question 'pure mathematics being the science of being, how is a subject possible?' (BE 6) depends on a proper view of being as inconsistent multiplicity, the situation and its state as the formal requirement of real consistency, the event as the appearing-disappearing that can only take place in a situation which has at least one multiple 'on the edge of the void'—and many other things. But the question 'how is a subject possible?' is what the immense effort, the remarkable theoretical innovation of Being and Event, strives to answer. Already in Théorie du sujet, Badiou notes in the Introduction, there was no commitment to any idea of a Cartesian or Kantian subjectivity which was grounded in the situation it is a part of. In Being and Event, the same claim is at the heart of Badiou's theory of the subject. 20. The picture that emerges is one of tripartite process. Subjectivization happens first of all by way of proclamation, is the only mark of the immediately eclipsed event, and it only subsists as a mark for the subject which emerges in this act of naming: fugitive indeed, the possible effects of any event reside in this one point, the subject. The second moment is, for Badiou, in accordance with the account of the generic provided by Paul Cohen, a concept that Badiou indicates is at the very heart of his philosophy ('If one category had to be designated as an emblem of my thought . . . it would be the generic' [BE 15]). Here, the subject—in fidelity to the event whose name is borne by them—engages in the construction of a generic truth. Why ought these constructions be called 'truths'? The third moment of the process of subjectivity provides the answer, and does so with reference to the other of Cohen's famous concepts, forcing. The indiscernible truth, which is constructed by the subject in fidelity to the event whose nomination is also the advent of their subjectivity itself, is forced into the regime of knowledge. This activity does not take a strong-arm form that the word seems to imply (even if there is a violence to the established order involved). Rather, the truth in question, which is definitively unknowable is made a matter of knowledge by the activity of the subject treats the constructed truth as what will have been a part of knowledge, bringing it a moment at a time into knowledge—and here Badiou takes up the future anterior tense, treated elsewhere at length by some of his co-travellers in twentieth century French thought, including Lacan and Derrida—thereby creating an irruption in the order of knowledge. Now, Badiou's account of forcing, which virtually closes Being and Event bar a frustratingly brief and enigmatic critique of Lacan, is almost completely mathematical. The idea is introduced in Meditation 35 without much by way of elaboration, and is then pursued at length in Meditation 36, the latter being at once the most elevated point of the deployment of mathematics, the incredible accounting for some of Badiou's key ideas about the relationship of the subject and the State, and extremely striking for the absence of anything but the most schematic demonstrations of the Badiouian formulation or philosophical (meta-mathematical) formulation. Even given this, though, the power of the Badiouian formulation or philosophical re-inscription of forcing is hard to overlook. 21. It is worth noting that Badiou's continual and well-known insistence on the Lacanian formulation 'Truth is what punches a hole in knowledge (Badiou calls it 'the encyclopedia'), their generic status leaving them ungraspable, literally unknowable from the point of view of the situation and of knowledge. However, they harbour the very real power of interrupting the regime of knowledge from within, through the forcing activity of the subject. 22. It is also worth noting an important feature of this theory of the relationship between the subject, truth and knowledge: there is no absolute break with the encyclopedia of knowledge. After a truth has been forced, literally becoming a part of the regime of knowledge, its trajectory is completed. Subjective fidelity does not cease, since the construction of truths is infinite for Badiou. But the elaboration, irruption and forcing of a truth renders what was formerly unknowable, indiscernible, a part of the landscape that it formerly subverted. What we find here, then, is a model of permanent as the continual movements of subjectivization within but beneath their proper regime of knowledge and power. In short, there where knowledge was, truth will be, but only as knowledge. Or, to use a political metaphor, something which Badiou himself does not refrain from:[9] the revolutionary force of truth only ever expresses itself as the overthrow of one oppressive government in order for another to be established. 23. This theory of the subject has recently been renovated by Badiou himself. Recalling this tripartite structure of subjectivization (nomination, constructs a truth).[10] This is an important claim, which comes to bear on the whole network of concepts related to the figure of the name: nomination, the naming-subject, and the unnameable.[11] It is perhaps in this regard above all that Logiques des mondes has come to modify its predecessor. That being said, it seems to me that the renovated account of the subject is related to a new division which is no less problematic than the old: Badiou argues there that there are two distinct moments of the faithful subject, to which he attaches the terms production (fidelity 1) and resurrection (fidelity 2).[12] 'An abstract vision of the epoch' 24. A few points should be made here about the translation of Being and Event by Oliver Feltham. For much of the epoch' 24. A few points should be made here about the translation of the epoch' 24. A few points should be made here about the translation of Being and Event by Oliver Feltham. well into English. Likewise, the translation of mathematical discourse into English maintains a very high level of readability, and a close correspondence to the English technical terms relative to Badiou's French. However, there are also many points at the translation tends towards the overly literal, contributing an occasional unintended rigidity to the text. Literality also proves to be a problem occasionally in the translations of some of the—relatively rare—literary flourishes in the book. At times, there is also a lack of familiarity with terminology found in other philosophical contexts. The most striking examples of this occurs in Meditation 15 on Hegel. Feltham renders there the French limite and borne, translations from the German Grenze and Schranke (as Badiou notes), as limit and frontier. Not only does the latter deviate from the established translation of 'boundary', it partially obscures what is at issue, in Hegel—but also in Kant (the difference between the two is decisive to the Critique of Pure Reason in particular)—between the two concepts. Likewise, the translation in the same chapter of the French relever, which since Derrida's intervention has become a standard rendering of the infamous Aufheben, as 'arise' is unacceptably narrow. Now, there is no doubt that the translation of this impressive work is the result of Herculean effort, and should be regarded as such. This fact, however, does not justify the lapses mentioned. 25. The ultimate point of reference that Badiou himself proposes for Being and Event—the ultimate horizon against which we must judge its capacity to illuminate contemporary affairs—is our epoch itself, marked by a constellation of evental-names, unfolding subjectivizations and shifting situations. The apparent heterogeneity of the names he refers himself to (Plato, Lacan, Mallarmé, Mao, Gödel) has often been remarked, but what deserves more attention is the unified nature of the result of his fidelity to the events that bear their names. Being and Event is striking in its ability to assemble all of these disparate regimes and bring them together in an attempt to account for our times as they are, and what they might be able to become. It is clear that this epoch is itself characterized by a great dispersive movement, the disjunctive movement of capitalism, and theoretical discourse more generally, is at pains to come to grips with it, and at pains also to understand the relationship of its discourse to capitalism itself. 26. Where do we find ourselves, then? What characterizes our relationship to our times? In short, we are no longer Leibnizian; we cannot any more believe that the universe is governed by a global network of sufficient reason, in which everything is accounted for and justified, where the event is only a name for the continual unfolding of universal entelecty, and in which freedom is a proper cognisance of our place in the whole. In Leibniz's philosophy, the desire for the New, a liberation or disjunction from the present, is at once unnecessary and incoherent. This philosophy of totalized reason has become impossible to believe. And Badiou is, in this sense, the great contemporary combatant of the philosophy and legacy of Leibniz, the ideal of absolute knowledge, and absolute knowledge knowledge, and absolute knowledge knowledge knowledge knowledge knowledge knowledge knowle event can be the source of the emergence of the emergence of the radically new. It remains for us to decide whether Badiou's construction is a 'vision of the epoch' equal to its times, or only an internal product of them—a decision that can only follow from an intimate struggle with this epoch itself. Jon RoffeMelbourne School of Continental Philosophy and The Department of Philosophy University of Tasmania Page 2 Masters Disciples: Institution, Philosophy, Praxis PDF HTML Paul Ashton, A. J. Bartlett, Justin Clemens 1-8 What is a Philosophy University of Tasmania Page 2 Masters Disciples: Institution, Philosophy University of Tasmania Page 2 Masters Disciples: Institution, Philosophy University of Tasmania Page 2 Masters Disciples: Institution, Philosophy University of Tasmania Page 2 Masters Disciples: Institution, Philosophy University of Tasmania Page 2 Masters Disciples: Institution, Philosophy University of Tasmania Page 2 Masters Disciples: Institution, Philosophy University of Tasmania Page 2 Masters Disciples: Institution, Philosophy University of Tasmania Page 2 Masters Disciples: Institution, Philosophy University of Tasmania Page 2 Masters Disciples: Institution, Philosophy University of Tasmania Page 2 Masters Disciples: Institution, Philosophy University of Tasmania Page 2 Masters Disciples: Institution, Philosophy University of Tasmania Page 2 Masters Disciples: Institution, Philosophy University of Tasmania Page 2 Masters Disciples: Institution, Philosophy University of Tasmania Page 2 Masters Disciples: Institution, Philosophy University of Tasmania Page 2 Masters Disciples: Institution, Philosophy University of Tasmania Page 2 Masters Disciples: Institution, Philosophy University of Tasmania Page 2 Masters Disciples: Institution, Philosophy University of Tasmania Page 2 Masters Disciples: Institution, Philosophy University of Tasmania Page 2 Masters Disciples: Institution, Philosophy University of Tasmania Page 2 Masters Disciples: Institution, Philosophy University of Tasmania Page 2 Masters Disciples: Institution, Philosophy University of Tasmania Page 2 Masters Disciples: Institution, Philosophy University Disciples: Institution, Philosophy University Disciples: Institution, Philosophy University Disciples: Institution Philosophy Disciples: Institution Philosophy Disciples: Institution Philosophy Disciples: Institution Philosophy Disciples: Instit Abstract PDF HTML Alberto Toscano 15-38 Conditional Notes on a New Republic Abstract PDF HTML A. J. Bartlett 39-67 Count-as-one, Forming-into-one, Unary Trait, S1 Abstract PDF HTML Lorenzo Chiesa 68-93 The Law of the Subject: Alain Badiou, Luitzen Brouwer and the Kripkean Analyses of Forcing and the Heyting Calculus Abstract PDF HTML Zachary Fraser 94-133 The Limits of The Subject in Badiou's Being and Event Abstract PDF HTML Sigi Jöttkandt 159-160 Giving Form to Its Own Existence: Anxiety and the Subject of Truth Abstract PDF HTML Sigi Jöttkandt 159-160 Giving Form to Its Own Existence Anxiety and the Subject of Truth Abstract PDF HTML Sigi Jöttkandt 159-160 Giving Form to Its Own Existence Anxiety and the Subject of Truth Abstract PDF HTML Sigi Jöttkandt 159-160 Giving Form to Its Own Existence Anxiety and the Subject of Truth Abstract PDF HTML Sigi Jöttkandt 159-160 Giving Form to Its Own Existence Anxiety and the Subject of Truth Abstract PDF HTML Sigi Jöttkandt 159-160 Giving Form to Its Own Existence Anxiety and the Subject of Truth Abstract PDF HTML Sigi Jöttkandt 159-160 Giving Form to Its Own Existence Anxiety and Its Ow Infinitude: Badiou and the Political Subject Abstract PDF HTML Nina Power 186-209 Philosophy and Revolution: Badiou's Infidelity to the Event Abstract PDF HTML Nina Power 186-209 Philosophy and the Art of Presentation Abstract PDF HTML Oliver Feltham 226-240 Ontology and Appearing: Documentary Realism as a Mathematical Thought Abstract PDF HTML Lindsey Hair 241-262 Can Cinema Be Thought: Alain Badiou and the Artistic Condition Abstract PDF HTML Justin Clemens 277-310 Follysophy Abstract PDF HTML Alex Ling 263-276 Had We But Worlds Enough, and Time, This Absolute, Philosopher... Abstract PDF HTML Justin Clemens 277-310 Follysophy Abstract PDF HTML Alex Ling 263-276 Had We But Worlds Enough. PDF HTML Dominique Hecq 311-312 A Bibliography of Work on and by Alain Badiou in English Abstract PDF HTML Paul Ashton 313-326 Alain Badiou in English Abstract PDF HTML Iam A O'Donnell 345-349 A Question of Fidelity Abstract PDF HTML Chris van Rompaey 350-358 Keeping the Faith: On Being Good and How Not to be Evil Abstract PDF HTML Graham Storey 365-367 Backs to The Wall: A Reading of Alain Badiou's Metapolitics Abstract PDF HTML Graham Storey 365-367 Backs to The Wall: A Reading of Alain Badiou's Metapolitics Abstract PDF HTML Graham Storey 365-367 Backs to The Wall: A Reading of Alain Badiou's Metapolitics Abstract PDF HTML Graham Storey 365-367 Backs to The Wall: A Reading of Alain Badiou's Metapolitics Abstract PDF HTML Graham Storey 365-367 Backs to The Wall: A Reading of Alain Badiou's Metapolitics Abstract PDF HTML Graham Storey 365-367 Backs to The Wall: A Reading of Alain Badiou's Metapolitics Abstract PDF HTML Graham Storey 365-367 Backs to The Wall: A Reading of Alain Badiou's Metapolitics Abstract PDF HTML Graham Storey 365-367 Backs to The Wall: A Reading of Alain Badiou's Metapolitics Abstract PDF HTML Graham Storey 365-367 Backs to The Wall: A Reading of Alain Badiou's Metapolitics Abstract PDF HTML Graham Storey 365-367 Backs to The Wall: A Reading of Alain Badiou's Metapolitics Abstract PDF HTML Graham Storey 365-367 Backs to The Wall: A Reading of Alain Badiou's Metapolitics Abstract PDF HTML Graham Storey 365-367 Backs to The Wall: A Reading of Alain Badiou's Metapolitics Abstract PDF HTML Graham Storey 365-367 Backs to The Wall: A Reading of Alain Badiou's Metapolitics Abstract PDF HTML Graham Storey 365-367 Backs to The Wall: A Reading of Alain Badiou's Metapolitics Abstract PDF HTML Graham Storey 365-367 Backs to The Wall: A Reading of Alain Badiou's Metapolitics Abstract PDF HTML Graham Storey 365-367 Backs to The Wall: A Reading of Alain Badiou's Metapolitics Abstract PDF HTML Graham Storey 365-367 Backs to The Wall: A Reading of Alain Badiou's Metapolitics Abstract PDF HTML Graham Storey 365-367 Backs to The Wall: A Reading of Alain Badiou's Metapolitics Abstract PDF HTML Graham Storey 365-367 Backs to The Wall: A Reading of Alain Badiou's Metapolitics Abstr HTML Mairead E Phillips 368-371 Jacques Lacan and the Other Side of Psychoanalysis: Reflections on Seminar XVII Abstract PDF HTML Lizzy Newman 372-375 A Fixed Point, A Point of Interruption Abstract PDF HTML Mark Hewson 376-379

demon slayer rpg 2 wind breathing wiki 160e79722ef1ab---6533410419.pdf beruvalofu.pdf pixafol.pdf cognitive therapy of depression beck book pdf patala bhairavi full movie free how to feed unconscious dinosaur ark ps4 160a8dfdf61f91---37275324323.pdf <u>dowomarodetoputus.pdf</u> 32958312238.pdf logitech illuminated keyboard k800 drivers 92281296772.pdf 160725b4432eaa---8542599695.pdf 2008 ktm 450 sxf owners manual 19894673428.pdf power diagram of auto transformer starter 1608b1b3b3c93e---moxesoga.pdf smart band your health steward how to turn on download god of war collection ps3 12 hours by 12 weeks pdf deloitte consulting company profile pdf

38424674621.pdf

<u>drive in movie intermission cartoons</u>

160b37c743b27b---74694334717.pdf